



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/099,612	03/14/2002	Martin E. Davis	835-005.5-1	4343
4955	7590 06/04/2004	EXAMINER		INER
	ESSOLA VAN DER SL	MOHAMED, ABDEL A		
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/099,612	DAVIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Abdel A. Mohamed	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 March 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attack were deal						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-,					

Art Unit: 1653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF COMMUNICATION AND STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

The communication filed 3/14/02 is acknowledged, entered and considered.
 Claims 1-6 are present for examination.

# CLAIMS REJECTION-35 U.S.C. § 112<sup>2nd</sup> PARAGRAPH

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6, the full words for "GMP" should be used before the abbreviation, at least in the first occurrence.

Claims 1 and 2 are indefinite in the recitation the acronyms "DPW" and claims 3, 4 and 6 are indefinite in the recitation "SDS". Use of the full terminologies, respectively at least in the first occurrences would obviate this rejection.

### CLAIM REJECTION-35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawasaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,280,107).

The reference of Kawasaki et al. discloses a process of large scale production of low fat and SDS Gel pure kappa-casein GMP from bovine deproteinized whey, wherein the process involves processing of bovine whey by centrifuge, ion-exchanger or microfiltration/diafiltration to remove fat, whey protein and aggregate proteins to produce a deproteinized whey at acidic pH and then concentrating and drying the resultant GMP (See e.g., cols. 2-5) as directed to claims 1 and 2.

The reference applied the same techniques of a process for production of kappacasein GMP by removing fat, whey proteins and aggregate proteins to obtain deproteinizing whey (DPW), concentrating the DPW, acidifying the concentrate from which protein has been removed, and contacting the acidified DPW by ion exchange resin to remove non-GMP peptides and proteins to obtain a resin effluent (See e.g., col. 2, lines 1-5 and col. 3, lines 20-27). On col. 2, lines 27 to 34, the reference discloses neutralizing the DPW protein obtained by ultrafiltration to recover the whey concentrates. On col. 3, lines 64 to col. 4, lines 13, the prior shows the removal of small quantities of casein curds and fatty contents, protein precipitates and lactose by centrifugation and ultrafiltration. Thus, the prior art discloses substantially the claimed process, and as such anticipates claims 1 and 2 as drafted.

Art Unit: 1653

4. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawasaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,280,107).

The '107 patent of Kawasaki et al. discloses a product of glycomacropeptides (GMP) from bovine deproteinized whey having an SDS Gel high purity (See e.g., col. 2, lines 1-18) as directed to claims 3 and 4. Although, in Example 2, the reference shows purity of 87% as determined by SDS, however, high purity could mean up to 100% purity, and as such encompasses a GMP from bovine deproteinized whey having an SDS Gel purity of at least 91% or at least 95% as claimed in claims 3 and 4, respectively.

In regard to claims 5 and 6, the claims are in product-by-process format. The novelty and patentability of the claimed product is based on the claimed procedure and not on the recited process steps. Claims 5 and 6 recite (even as dependent on claims 1 or 2) no new novel properties based on the claims 1 or 2 recited processes. The cited reference teaches that the old product have been expected by one of ordinary skill in the art to have been highly purified by removing fat, whey protein, aggregate protein, lactose, small peptides and minerals to obtain a GMS product having an SDS Gel purity of at least 91% (See e.g., cols 2-5 and Examples 1 and 2). Thus, since claims 3 and 4 are product claims, and claims 5 and 6 are product-by-process claims, the prior art discloses the invention substantially as claimed, and as such anticipates claims 3-6 as drafted.

Art Unit: 1653

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE

Page 5

5. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdel A. Mohamed whose telephone number is (571) 272-0955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-7401 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

AlYMohamed/AAM

June 1, 2004

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**